arXiv:1703.03554v1 [math.AP] 10 Mar 2017

Commutator Estimates for the Dirichlet-to-Neumann Map of Stokes Systems in Lipschitz Domains

Qiang Xu [*], Weiren Zhao [†], Shulin Zhou [‡]
School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University,
Beijing, 100871, PR China.

March 13, 2017

Abstract

In the paper, we establish commutator estimates for the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map of Stokes systems in Lipschitz domains. The approach is based on Dahlberg's bilinear estimates, and the results may be regarded as an extension of [8, 19] to Stokes systems.

Key words. Commutator estimate; Dirichlet-to-Neumann map; Stokes system; Lipschitz domain

1 Introduction and main results

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Lipschitz domain with $d \geq 3$. It is well known that for any $f \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with the compatibility condition $\int_{\partial\Omega} n \cdot f dS = 0$, the Dirichlet problem for the Stokes system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \nabla q = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div}(u) = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u = f & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

has a unique velocity u in $V_N = \big\{v \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) : \operatorname{div}(v) = 0\big\}$, and a unique pressure q up to constants in $L^2(\Omega)$. To make the following definition well-defined, we may assume $\int_\Omega q(x)dx = 0$. The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $\Lambda : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \to H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$\big(\Lambda(f)\big)^\alpha = \frac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial n} - n_\alpha q \tag{1.2}$$

in a weak sense, where $n = (n_1, \cdots, n_d)$ is the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. The right-hand side of (1.2) denotes the conormal derivative of u on $\partial\Omega$ (see for example [11, 17]). Furthermore, from the results in [11], one may show that $\|\Lambda(f)\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}$.

In the paper, we will study the L^2-theory of the commutator estimates for the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map (1.2), and the main results will be shown in the following.

[*]Email: xuqiang@math.pku.edu.cn.
[†]Email: zjzwr@math.pku.edu.cn
[‡]Email: szhou@math.pku.edu.cn

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain, and $f \in L^2(\partial\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy the compatibility condition $\int_{\partial\Omega} n\cdot f dS = 0$. Suppose (u,q) is the solution of (1.1) with boundary data f. Then for any $\eta \in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying $\int_{\partial\Omega} n\cdot \eta f dS = 0$, we have*

$$\big\|\Lambda(\eta f) - \eta\Lambda(f)\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\|f\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}, \tag{1.3}$$

where C depends on d and Ω. Particularly, in the case of $d=3$, the estimate

$$\big\|\Lambda(\eta f) - \eta\Lambda(f)\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \le C\|\eta\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}\|f\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}, \tag{1.4}$$

also holds, where C depends only on Ω.

Remark 1.2. In the proof of (1.4), the assumption that $d=3$ merely guarantees the L^∞-estimate $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le C\|f\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}$ is valid, which is well known as the Agmon-Miranda maximum principle in the field of elliptic systems. Whether such the L^∞-estimate holds in Lipschitz domains for $d \ge 4$ remains an interesting open problem.

The estimates (1.3) and (1.4) are referred to as the commutator estimates. The key step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to establish the following Dahlberg's bilinear estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_\Omega \nabla u\cdot v dx\right| \le C&\left\{\Big(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_\Omega |q|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}\right\}\\ &\times\left\{\Big(\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_{\partial\Omega} |(v)^*|^2 dS\Big)^{\frac12}\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where $\delta(x) = \mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)$, and $v = (v_i^\alpha) \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{d\times d})$. The notation $(v)^*$ in (1.5) represents the nontangential maximal function of v on $\partial\Omega$, defined by

$$(v)^*(x) = \sup_{y\in\Gamma_{N_0}(x)} |v(y)|, \qquad \Gamma_{N_0}(x) = \big\{y\in\Omega : |y-x| \le N_0\mathrm{dist}(y,\partial\Omega)\big\},$$

where $x\in\partial\Omega$, and N_0 is sufficiently large. The bilinear estimate was originally proved in [7] for harmonic functions in Lipschitz domains. In term of the elliptic system with variable coefficients, it was established by S. Hofmann [13], and by Z. Shen [19], respectively, for different considerations. In fact, this work is much influenced by [19].

Compared to the bilinear estimate established for elliptic equations (see [7, 8, 19, 13]), the estimate (1.5) has one more square function caused by the pressure term q, and how to handle that term will be the main difficulty in the technical standpoint. In term of layer potential, we have the key observation that $\Delta q = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\partial\Omega$, which leads two important facts. One is that the square function of q may be controlled by the boundary data (see Lemma 2.1), which is based on the equivalence between the square function and the nontangential maximal function (see [2, 10]). The other is that $|q(x)|^2\delta(x)dx$ could be a Carleson measure provided the velocity term u is bounded. Although these results may probably be known by experts, a rigorous proof seems to have considerable merit, and benefits the readers.

The commutator is also denoted by $\big[\Lambda,\eta\big]f = \Lambda(\eta f) - \eta\Lambda(f)$ on $\partial\Omega$, and in view of (1.2), it is not hard to derive the following identity, which the proof of Theorem 1.1 begin with,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega}\big[\Lambda,\eta\big]f\cdot h dS &= \int_{\partial\Omega}[\Lambda(\eta f)]^\alpha h^\alpha dS - \int_{\partial\Omega}\eta[\Lambda(f)]^\alpha h^\alpha dS\\ &= \int_\Omega u^\alpha\nabla\eta\cdot\nabla h^\alpha dx - \int_\Omega \nabla u^\alpha\cdot\nabla\eta h^\alpha dx + \int_\Omega q\nabla_\alpha\eta h^\alpha dx - \int_\Omega \pi\nabla_\alpha\eta u^\alpha dx,\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $(h,\pi)\in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)\times L^2(\Omega)$ satisfies $\Delta h=\nabla\pi$ and $\mathrm{div}(h)=0$ in Ω and $(h)^*\in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. We mention that the summation convention for repeated indices is used throughout. Besides, the extension of η is still denoted by itself, since proving (1.3) and (1.4) requires the different way in extension of η. The former needs $\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}$, and $|\nabla^2\eta|^2\delta(x)dx$ is a Carleson measure. The latter asks for an harmonic extension of η to Ω.

Observing the identity, the first two terms in the second line of (1.6) will be reduced to prove the Dahlberg's bilinear estimate (1.5), while to bound the following integrals

$$\left|\int_\Omega q\nabla_\alpha\eta h^\alpha dx\right| \quad\text{and}\quad \left|\int_\Omega \pi\nabla_\alpha\eta u^\alpha dx\right|$$

is much involved, in which we borrowed some ideas from [2]. We end the paragraph by mention that the core aim of the computation is to control the conormal derivative through the tangential derivative of the solution on account of the equation satisfied by the solution itself. In fact, in the computation we find that transferring the derivative seems to move the Carleson measure from one place to another.

In order to quick understand such the communicator estimates, for example (1.3), we employ Fourier transformation to establish it in the upper half-space $\mathbb{R}^2_+$. Since we will not pursue this approach, the concrete statements will be shown in the appendix of the paper. We mention that the estimates (1.3) and (1.4) could be established through the layer potential methods, which had been shown by S. Hofmann [13] in detail, and by Z. Shen concisely in [19].

To the best knowledge of the authors, the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map plays an fundamental role in the classical Calderón problem, whose study may go back to the celebrated work [4]. It has many practical applications, notably to geophysics and medical imaging. We hope our results may be further applied to the study of fluid mechanics. For more knowledges on this subject, we refer the readers to [1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 14, 18, 15, 16] for more details and references therein.

We organize the paper as follows. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 4, while Section 3 is devoted to discuss the special case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^d_+$, which is prepared for readers who are unacquainted with Stokes systems or harmonic analysis, and experts can skim this part. Some important lemmas related to the square function, nontangential maximal functions and Carleson measures are presented in Section 2.

2 Preliminaries

The following lemma is related to extensions of Lipschitz functions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let $\eta\in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$ be a Lipschitz function on $\partial\Omega$. Then there exists $G\in C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega})\cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $G=\eta$ on $\partial\Omega$, $\|\nabla G\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}$, and $d\nu=|\nabla^2 G|\delta(x)dx$ is a Carleson measure on Ω with norm $\|\nu\|_{\mathcal{C}}\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}$, where C depends only on Ω.*

Proof. The proof may be found in [19, Lemma 4.1]. □

Remark 2.2. In the following context, we do not distinguish the notation G from η, and always use η instead of G.

Theorem 2.3. *Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d\ge 3$. Suppose (u,q) is the solution of $\Delta u=\nabla q$ and $\mathrm{div}(u)=0$ in Ω, and $(u)^*\in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Then we have*

$$\int_\Omega|\nabla u(x)|^2\delta(x)dx\le C\int_{\partial\Omega}|(u)^*|^2dS \tag{2.1}$$

and there exists a function $\tilde{q}$ such that $\tilde{q} - q \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{q}(x)|^2 [\delta(x)]^3 dx \le \int_\Omega |\tilde{q}(x)|^2 \delta(x) dx \le C \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 dS \tag{2.2}$$

where C depends only on d and Ω.

Proof. The proofs may be found in [2, Theorem A.1] and [2, Lemma A.9], as well as [10]. □

Remark 2.4. If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d_+$, the estimates (2.1) and (2.2) will still be true, provided that the solution (u, q) satisfies an additional vanishing condition as $|x|$ goes to infinity. In fact, the proof will be simpler than that given in [2]. We mention that it is clear to see that $\tilde{q}$ could be replaced by q, provided we introduce the additional condition $\int_\Omega q dx = 0$.

Lemma 2.5 (Key identity)**.** *Assume η, f are given as in Theorem 1.1. Let $(u, q) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega)$ be the solution of (1.1). Then we have the following identity*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \big[\Lambda, \eta\big] f \cdot h dS &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \Lambda(\eta f^\alpha) h^\alpha dS - \int_{\partial\Omega} \eta \Lambda(f^\alpha) h^\alpha dS \\ &= \int_\Omega u^\alpha \nabla\eta \cdot \nabla h^\alpha dx - \int_\Omega \nabla u^\alpha \cdot \nabla \eta h^\alpha dx + \int_\Omega q \nabla_\alpha \eta h^\alpha dx - \int_\Omega \pi \nabla_\alpha \eta u^\alpha dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where $(h, \pi) \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2(\Omega)$ satisfies $\Delta h = \nabla \pi$ and $\mathrm{div}(h) = 0$ in Ω and $(h)^* \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$.

Proof. The main tool is the Green formula, and we provide a proof for the sake of the completeness. It is fine to let (w, p) satisfy $[\Lambda(\eta f)]^\alpha = (\partial w^\alpha / \partial n) - n_\alpha p$, and be the solution of

$$\Delta w = \nabla p, \qquad \mathrm{div}(w) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad \text{and} \quad w = \eta f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{2.4}$$

We have the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial n} h^\alpha dS &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial n} w^\alpha dS + \int_\Omega \Big(\Delta w^\alpha h^\alpha - w^\alpha \Delta h^\alpha \Big) dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \mathrm{div}\big(\nabla h^\alpha u^\alpha \eta \big) dx + \int_\Omega \Big(\nabla_\alpha p h^\alpha - w^\alpha \Delta h^\alpha \Big) dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \big(u^\alpha \eta - w^\alpha \big) \Delta h^\alpha dx + \int_\Omega \big(u^\alpha \nabla \eta + \eta \nabla u^\alpha \big) \cdot \nabla h^\alpha dx + \int_\Omega \nabla_\alpha p h^\alpha dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where the second equality follows from the divergence theorem. Using the equation (2.4) and $\Delta h = \nabla \pi$ and $\mathrm{div}(h) = 0$ in Ω,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \big(u^\alpha \eta - w^\alpha \big) \Delta h^\alpha dx = \int_\Omega \nabla_\alpha \pi (u^\alpha \eta - w^\alpha) dx = - \int_\Omega \pi \nabla_\alpha \eta u^\alpha dx, \\ \int_{\partial\Omega} n_\alpha p h^\alpha dS = \int_\Omega \mathrm{div}(ph) dx = \int_\Omega \nabla_\alpha p h^\alpha dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where we recall that $u = f$ on $\partial\Omega$. Combining the identities (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \Lambda(\eta f) h dS &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \Big(\frac{\partial w^\alpha}{\partial n} - n_\alpha p \Big) h^\alpha dS \\ &= \int_\Omega \big(u^\alpha \nabla \eta + \eta \nabla u^\alpha \big) \cdot \nabla h^\alpha dx - \int_\Omega \pi \nabla_\alpha \eta u^\alpha dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Then by the same token, we have the following expression

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\eta\Lambda(f)hdS=\int_{\Omega}\nabla\eta\cdot\nabla u^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}dx+\int_{\Omega}\eta\nabla u^{\alpha}\cdot\nabla h^{\alpha}dx-\int_{\Omega}q\nabla_{\alpha}\eta h^{\alpha}dx,$$

which together with the identity (2.7) gives the desired result (2.3), and we have completed the proof. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Suppose that (u,q) satisfies $\Delta u=\nabla q$ and $\mathrm{div}(u)=0$ in Ω, and $|u|\in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then $|\nabla u(x)|^2\delta(x)dx$ and $|q(x)|^2\delta(x)dx$ will be the Carleson measures. Moreover, for any $(v)^*\in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ there holds*

$$\max\left\{\int_{\Omega}|v|^2|\nabla u|^2\delta(x)dx,\quad\int_{\Omega}|v|^2|q|^2\delta(x)dx\right\}\le C\|u\|^2_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|(v)^*\|^2_{L^2(\partial\Omega)},\tag{2.8}$$

where $\delta(x)=\mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)$, and C depends only on d and Ω.

Proof. For a cube Q in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, we define the tent over Q to be the cube $T(Q)=Q\times(0,l(Q)]$, also denoted by Q^*. Then we take the Whitney decomposition of Ω, and let Q^* be one of such cubes, which satisfy the property that $3Q^*\subset\Omega$ and $l(Q)\approx\mathrm{dist}(Q^*,\partial\Omega)$. Hence, in order to verify $d\nu_u=|\nabla u|^2\delta(x)dx$ is a Carleson measure, it suffices to prove

$$d\nu_u(T(Q))=\int_{T(Q)}|\nabla u(x)|^2\delta(x)dx\le C\|u\|^2_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}[l(Q)]^{d-1}.\tag{2.9}$$

Since $\Delta u=\nabla q$ in Ω, we have the interior estimates

$$|\nabla u(x)|\le\frac{C}{\delta(x)}\Big(\fint_{B(x,\delta(x))}|u(y)|^2dy\Big)^{1/2}$$

and this implies that

$$\int_{T(Q)}|\nabla u|^2\delta(x)dx\le C[l(Q)]^{-1}\int_{T(Q)}\fint_{B(x,l(Q))}|u(y)|^2dydx\le C\|u\|^2_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}[l(Q)]^{d-1}.$$

We now proceed to show $d\nu_q=|q|^2\delta(x)dx$ is another Carleson measure. The original idea will be found in [2, pp.1203-1204], and we provide the proof for the sake of completeness. Let ω_d denote the surface area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We introduce the corresponding fundamental solution (Γ_{ij},Π^i) of the Stokes system, which is given by

$$\Gamma_{ij}(x)=\frac{1}{2\omega_d}\left\{\frac{\kappa_{ij}}{(n-2)|x|^{d-1}}+\frac{x_ix_j}{|x|^d}\right\},\qquad\Pi^i(x)=\frac{1}{\omega_d}\frac{x_i}{|x|^d}$$

(see for example [17]). Then, in view of [11, Section 3], u can be represented in terms of a double layer potential

$$u^i(x)=\int_{\partial\Omega}\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}\big\{\Gamma_{ij}(x-y)\big\}n_k(y)-\Pi^i(x-y)n_j(y)\Big\}\phi_j(y)dS(y)$$

where $\|\phi\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\le C\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$. By a standard computation, we have

$$\Delta u^i(x)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{x_j-y_j}{\omega_d|x-y|^d}n_k(y)\phi_j(y)dS(y)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\{\tilde{q}\},$$

where

$$\tilde{q}(x) = -\frac{\partial W_k}{\partial x_k}, \quad \text{and} \quad W_k(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{x_j - y_j}{\omega_d |x-y|^d} n_k(y)\phi_j(y) dS(y).$$

From $\nabla(q - \tilde{q}) = 0$, it follows that $q - \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}$, and it is not hard to observe $\Delta W_k = 0$ in Ω. Hence,

$$\int_\Omega |\tilde{q}|^2 \delta(x) dx \le \sum_{k=1}^d \int_\Omega |\nabla W_k|^2 \delta(x) dx \le C \sum_{k=1}^d \int_{\partial\Omega} |(W_k)^*|^2 dS \le C\|\phi\|^2_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \le C\|u\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)},$$

where the fourth inequality follows from [21], and and this implies that

$$\nu_q(T(Q)) = \int_\Omega |q|^2 \delta(x) dx \le C\|\phi\|^2_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \le C\|u\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)} |Q|. \tag{2.10}$$

Consequently, combining the estimates (2.9), (2.10) and [12, Corollary 7.3.6] leads to the desired estimate (2.8), and we are done. □

3 Special case: $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d_+$

Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d_+ = \{(x', t) \in \mathbb{R}^d : t > 0\}$ be the upper half-space in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\partial\Omega = \{(x', 0), x' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\} = \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. In the section, we extend the investigation of Section 5 to the higher dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with $d \ge 3$ but using a different methods. Since the main techniques applied to Lipschitz domains have already appeared in such the case, we take it as an example to make the main idea clear in the full proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f = (f^\alpha) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1}; \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy the compatibility condition $\int_{\partial\Omega} f^d dS = 0$. Suppose (u, q) is the solution of (1.1) with boundary data f. Then for any $\eta \in C_0^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ such that the quantity $\Lambda(\eta f)$ is well-defined, we have*

$$\big\|\Lambda(\eta f) - \eta\Lambda(f)\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}, \tag{3.1}$$

where C depends only on d.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (u, q) be the solution of $\Delta u = \nabla q$ and $\operatorname{div}(u) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with $(u)^* \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$. Assume that $\eta \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ has compact support, and $h(x)$ vanishes as $|x|$ goes to infinity. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} q\nabla_\alpha \eta h^\alpha dx'dt = &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial^2\eta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial t} h^\alpha q dx'dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial t} q dx'dt \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2 \frac{\partial^2\eta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial t}\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} h^\alpha dx'dt + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2 \frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial t} dx'dt \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2 \frac{\partial^2\eta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_i}\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i} h^\alpha dx'dt - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2 \frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i} dx'dt
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $i = 1, \cdots, d-1$. Moreover, there holds

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} q\nabla_\alpha \eta h^\alpha dx'dt\right| \le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \|(h)^*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}, \tag{3.3}$$

where C depends only on d.

Proof. Taking integration by parts with respect to t variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} q\nabla_\alpha \eta h^\alpha dx'dt &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big\{\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}h^\alpha q\Big\}dx'dt \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial t}h^\alpha q dx'dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial t}q dx'dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}h^\alpha dx'dt.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

We now turn to calculate the last term in the second line of (3.4), and by the same token,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}h^\alpha dx'dt = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\Big\{\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial t}\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}h^\alpha + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial t^2}h^\alpha\Big\}dx'dt. \tag{3.5}$$

Noting that $\Delta q = 0$ in Ω, we have $\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial t^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x_i^2}$, and by substituting it into the third term in the right-hand side of (3.5) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial t^2}h^\alpha dx'dt &= -\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x_i^2}h^\alpha dx'dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\Big\{\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_i}\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i}h^\alpha + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i}\Big\}dx'dt.\end{aligned}$$

Then inserting the above formula into (3.5), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}h^\alpha dx'dt = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\Big\{&\frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial t}\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}h^\alpha + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial t} \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2 \eta}{\partial x_\alpha \partial x_i}\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i}h^\alpha + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i}\Big\}dx'dt.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Up to now, the desired identity (3.2) follows from (3.4) and (3.6), and then we turn to estimate (3.3).

By the identity (3.2), it is not hard to derive

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} q\nabla_\alpha \eta h^\alpha dx'dt\Big| \le\ & C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\nabla^2\eta|^2|h|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\times\Big\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|q|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^3|\nabla q|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\} \\ &+ C\big\|\nabla\eta\big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\nabla h|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\times\Big\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|q|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^3|\nabla q|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

In view of Theorem 2.3 and Remark 2.4, we have the following estimates

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|q|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^3|\nabla q|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} |u|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\nabla h|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} |(h)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Also, it follows from Lemma 2.1 and [12, Corollary 7.3.6] that

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\nabla^2\eta|^2|h|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(h)^*|^2dS\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.10}$$

since $|\nabla^2 g|tdx'dt$ is the Carleson measure. Consequently, the desired estimate (3.3) follows from (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), and we have completed the proof. □

Remark 3.3. Although in such the special case $\mathbb{R}^d_+$, the quantity $|\nabla^2\eta|$ may be vanish directly, keeping the term $|\nabla^2\eta|tdx'dt$ suggests where the Carleson measure would be born, which helps the reader to follow the calculations in later section, easily.

Lemma 3.4 (Dahlberg's bilinear estimate I)**.** *Let $(h,\pi)\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+;\mathbb{R}^d)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ be the solution of $\Delta h=\nabla\pi$ and $\mathrm{div}(h)=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$, and $(h)^*\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$. Assume $v=(v^\alpha_j)\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+;\mathbb{R}^{d\times d})$ is supported in $B(0,r_0)$ such that $v=0$ outside $B(0,r_0)\cap\mathbb{R}^d_+$, where $r_0>0$ is sufficiently large. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\nabla h\cdot v dx &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d}\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial t}\frac{\partial v^\alpha_i}{\partial x_i}-\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial v^\alpha_i}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial t}\frac{\partial v^\alpha_d}{\partial t}\Big\}dx'dt\\ &\quad-\sum_{\beta=1}^{d-1}\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial v^\beta_d}{\partial x_i}+\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial t}\frac{\partial v^d_d}{\partial x_\beta}-\pi\frac{\partial v^\beta_d}{\partial x_\beta}\Big\}dx'dt,\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $dx=dx'dt$. Moreover, there admits the following estimate

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\nabla h\cdot v dx\Big| \le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\nabla v|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\nabla h|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\pi|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\} \tag{3.12}$$

where C depends only on d.

Proof. For ease of statement, let $i,\beta=1,\cdots,d-1$, and $j,\alpha=1,\cdots,d$, and the summation convention for repeated indices will be used. We divide the left-hand side of (3.11) into two parts as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_j}v^\alpha_j dx'dt=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i}v^\alpha_i dx'dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial t}v^\alpha_d dx'dt=I_1+I_2. \tag{3.13}$$

The simple part is I_1, and taking integration by parts with respect to t, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_1&=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i}v^\alpha_i\Big\}dx'dt=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t\frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial x_i\partial t}v^\alpha_i dx'dt-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial v^\alpha_i}{\partial t}dx'dt\\ &=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial t}\frac{\partial v^\alpha_i}{\partial x_i}dx'dt-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial v^\alpha_i}{\partial t}dx'dt.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

To handle I_2, we write

$$\begin{aligned}I_2&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial t}v^\beta_d dx'dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\frac{\partial h^d}{\partial t}v^d_d dx'dt\\ &=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial t}v^\beta_d\Big\}dx'dt-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial x_\beta}v^d_d dx'dt=I_{21}+I_{22}.\end{aligned}$$

We mention that the second equality above follows from the fact that $\mathrm{div}(h)=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$. For I_{21}, we indeed employ $\Delta h=\nabla\pi$ in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ to compute the integral

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial^2 h^\beta}{\partial t^2}v_d^\beta dx'dt &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial^2 h^\beta}{\partial x_i^2}v_d^\beta dx'dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\nabla_\beta \pi v_d^\beta dx'dt\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial x_i}\frac{v_d^\beta}{\partial x_i}dx'dt-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\pi\frac{\partial v_d^\beta}{\partial x_\beta}dx'dt\end{aligned}$$

where we use the integration by parts with respect to x_i in the second equality. Note that all of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}$ are tangential derivative. The core idea is that using tangential derivatives control the conormal derivative. Thus, we have

$$I_{21}=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial v_d^\beta}{\partial x_i}dx'dt-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial t}\frac{\partial v_d^\beta}{\partial t}dx'dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\pi\frac{\partial v_d^\beta}{\partial x_\beta}dx'dt. \tag{3.15}$$

Proceeding as in the proof of I_1, we have

$$I_{22}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial x_\beta}v_d^d\Big\}dx'dt \quad =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial t}\frac{\partial v_d^d}{\partial x_\beta}dx'dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial x_\beta}\frac{\partial v_d^d}{\partial t}dx'dt. \tag{3.16}$$

Combining equalities (3.15) and (3.16) leads to

$$I_2=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial x_i}\frac{v_d^\beta}{\partial x_i}dx'dt-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial h^\beta}{\partial t}\frac{\partial v_d^d}{\partial x_\beta}dx'dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\pi\frac{\partial v_d^\beta}{\partial x_\beta}dx'dt-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial t}\frac{\partial v_d^\alpha}{\partial t}dx'dt$$

where we use the fact that $\mathrm{div}(h)=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$, again. This together with (3.14) and (3.13) gives the desired identity (3.11).

Then we take the last term in the right-hand side of identity (3.11) as an example:

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\pi\frac{\partial v_d^\beta}{\partial x_\beta}dx'dt\Big|\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^{\frac12}|\pi||\nabla v|t^{\frac12}dx'dt\le\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\pi|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\nabla v|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}$$

where we employ Cauchy's inequality in the last step. The desired estimate (3.12) simply follows from the same manner and we have completed the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In view of the identity (2.3), to estimate the quantity $\|\Lambda(\eta f)-\eta\Lambda(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$, it is reduced to control

$$\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} u^\alpha\nabla\eta\nabla h^\alpha dx\Big|+\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\nabla u^\alpha\nabla\eta h^\alpha dx\Big|+\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} q\nabla_\alpha\eta h^\alpha dx\Big|+\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\pi\nabla_\alpha\eta u^\alpha dx\Big|. \tag{3.17}$$

For the first term in (3.17), choose $v_i^\alpha=u^\alpha\nabla_i\eta$ with $i,\alpha=1,\cdots,d$, and it follows from the Dahlberg's bilinear estimate (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} u^\alpha\nabla\eta\nabla h^\alpha dx\Big| &\le C\Big\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|u|^2|\nabla^2\eta|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}+\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\nabla u|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\}\\ &\quad\times\Big\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\nabla h|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\pi|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\}\\ &\le C\big\|\eta\big\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(u)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|(h)^*|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|h|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\Big\}\\ &\le C\big\|\eta\big\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\big\|f\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\big\|h\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

In the second inequality, we employ the estimates (2.1) and (2.2), as well as Lemma 2.1 coupled with [12, Corollary 7.3.6]. In the last one, we use the nontangential maximal function estimates $\|(u)^*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \le C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$ and $\|(h)^*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})} \le C\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}$ (see [11, Theorem 3.9]).

The second one in (3.17) obeys the same procedure. It suffices to choose $v_i^\alpha = \nabla_i \eta h^\alpha$, and it is not hard to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \nabla u^\alpha \nabla \eta h^\alpha dx\right| &\le C\bigg\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |h|^2|\nabla^2\eta|^2 t dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12} + \|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\nabla h|^2 t dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\\ &\quad\times\bigg\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\nabla u|^2 t dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|q|^2 t dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\\ &\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(h)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|(u)^*|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|u|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\\ &\le C\big\|\eta\big\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\big\|f\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\big\|h\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Proceeding as in the proof of the estimate (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}\pi\nabla_\alpha\eta u^\alpha dx'dt\right| &\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\|(u)^*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\\ &\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Note that (u,q) satisfies the equation (1.1). Thus, plugging the estimates (3.18), (3.18), (3.7) and (3.18) back into (3.17) leads to the desired estimate (3.1), and we have completed the proof. □

4 The proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 4.1 (Dahlberg's bilinear estimate II)**.** *Let $(h,\pi) \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)\times L^2(\Omega)$ be the solution of $\Delta h = \nabla\pi$ and $\operatorname{div}(h) = 0$ in Ω, and $(h)^* \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Assume Then for any $v = (v_j^\alpha) \in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^{d\times d})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_\Omega \nabla h\cdot v dx\right| &\le C\bigg\{\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla h|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_\Omega|\pi|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\\ &\quad\times\bigg\{\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla v|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_{\partial\Omega}|(v)^*|^2dS\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\delta(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)$, and C depends only on d and Ω.

Proof. By linear transformation both in the variable x and the solution (h,π), we may assume that

$$D_r = \Omega\cap B(P,r) = \big\{(x',y)\in\mathbb{R}^d : y > \psi(x')\big\}\cap B(P,r).$$

where ψ is a Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Let $\eta\in C_0^\infty(B(P,2r))$ be a cut-off function such that $\eta = 1$ in $B(P,r)$. Since $\Delta h = \nabla\pi$, and $\operatorname{div}(h) = 0$ in Ω, it is not hard to derive

$$\Delta(\eta h) = \nabla(\eta\pi) - \tilde f, \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div}(\eta h) = h\cdot\nabla\eta \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $\tilde f = \pi\nabla\eta - 2\nabla h\cdot\nabla\eta - h\Delta\eta$. Thus it is enough to establish (4.1) with Ω replaced by D_r, assuming that $\Delta h = \nabla\pi$ and $\operatorname{div}(h) = 0$ in D_r, and $v\in H_0^1(B(0,r))$. Furthermore, since the Carleson measure is translation and rotation invariant, it is fine to assume $P = 0$.

By a special change of variables invented by C. Kenig and E. Stein, we may further reduce the problem to the case of upper half-space $\mathbb{R}^d_+$. Indeed, let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^d_+ \to D = D_r$ be defined by

$$\rho(x', t) = (x', y) = (x', \varphi(x, t)) = (x', c_0 t + \zeta_t * \psi(x')), \tag{4.2}$$

where $\zeta_t(x') = t^{1-d}\zeta(x'/t)$ is a smooth compactly supported bump function and the constant $c_0 = c_0(d, \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})})$ is sufficient large such that $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \geq \frac{1}{8}$. The map ρ is a bi-Lipschitz map, which owns two essential properties: (1) there exists two constant $c, C > 0$ such that $c \leq |\nabla\rho(x', t)| \leq C$; and (2) $|\nabla^2\varphi(x', t)|^2 t dx' dt$ (or in another form $|\nabla^2\rho(x', t)|^2 t dx' dt$) is a Carleson measure on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$; Hence, the property (1) and

$$\int_D \nabla h \cdot v dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \nabla h \circ \rho \cdot v \circ \rho |\nabla\rho| dx' dt$$

indicate that it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned}\Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \nabla h \circ \rho \cdot v \circ \rho |\nabla\rho| dx' dt \Big| \leq C \Big\{ \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |\nabla h \circ \rho|^2 t dx' dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |\pi \circ \rho|^2 t dx' dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big\} \\ \times \Big\{ \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |\nabla v \circ \rho|^2 t dx' dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} |(v \circ \rho)^*|^2 dx' \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

The remainder of the argument is analogous to that in Theorem 3.1, and we only focus on the different places. Let $1 \leq i, k \leq d-1$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq d$. and we first divide the integral in the left-hand side of (4.3) into two parts

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \nabla h \circ \rho \cdot v \circ \rho |\nabla\rho| dx' dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i} \circ \rho \cdot v_i^\alpha \circ \rho |\nabla\rho| dx' dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} \frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial y} \circ \rho \cdot v_d^\alpha \circ \rho |\nabla\rho| dx' dt \\ &:= A_1 + A_2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Unlike the proof of Theorem 3.1, the difficulty has already appeared in calculating A_1, and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}A_1 = &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t \frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial x_i \partial y} \circ \rho \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \cdot v_i^\alpha \circ \rho |\nabla\rho| dx' dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t \frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i} \circ \rho \cdot \frac{\partial v_i^\alpha}{\partial y} \circ \rho \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} |\nabla\rho| dx' dt \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t \frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i} \circ \rho \cdot v_i^\alpha \circ \rho \frac{\nabla\rho}{|\nabla\rho|} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla\rho) dx' dt := B_1 + B_2 + B_3\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Noting that B_2 is a good term, we have

$$|B_2| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t \Big| \frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_i} \circ \rho \Big| \cdot \Big| \frac{\partial v_i^\alpha}{\partial y} \circ \rho \Big| dx' dt \leq C \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |\nabla h \circ \rho|^2 t dx' dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |\nabla v \circ \rho|^2 t dx' dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.6}$$

Before studying A_1, we point out that $|A_3|$ will produce a Carleson measure $|\nabla^2\rho|^2 t dx' dt$, and we will see that

$$\begin{aligned}|B_3| &\leq C \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |\nabla h \circ \rho|^2 t dx' dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |v \circ \rho|^2 |\nabla^2\rho| t dx' dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |\nabla h \circ \rho|^2 t dx' dt \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} |(v \circ \rho)^*|^2 dx' \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where we employ [12, Corollary 7.3.6] in the last inequality. In this sense, the factor $|\nabla\rho|$ (or $\nabla\varphi$) is good in the left-hand side of (4.3), which actually may produce a Carleson measure in the integral.

To estimate $|B_1|$, we need to move the derivative $\partial/\partial x_i$ of $\frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial x_i \partial y}$ to other terms through integration by parts. Plugging the following identity

$$\frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial x_i \partial y} \circ \rho = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial y} \circ \rho\Big\} - \frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial y^2} \circ \rho \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i}$$

back into B_1, the second term in the right-hand side above will bring the real difficulty, and we merely study

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t \frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial y^2} \circ \rho \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \cdot v_i^\alpha \circ \rho |\nabla \rho| dx' dt := E_1. \tag{4.8}$$

Since $\Delta h^\alpha = \nabla_\alpha$ in D, it is not hard to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial y^2} \circ \rho &= -\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial x_k^2} \circ \rho + \nabla_\alpha \pi \circ \rho \\
&= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_k} \circ \rho\Big\} + \frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial x_k \partial y} \circ \rho \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k} + \nabla_\alpha \pi \circ \rho \\
&= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_k} \circ \rho\Big\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial y} \circ \rho\Big\}\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial y^2} \circ \rho |\nabla_{x'} \varphi|^2 + \nabla_\alpha \pi \circ \rho,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\nabla_{x'}\varphi|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} (\partial \varphi/\partial x_k)^2$ and $\nabla_{x'} = (\partial_1, \cdots, \partial_{d-1})$, and this implies

$$\big(1 + |\nabla_{x'}\varphi|^2\big)\frac{\partial^2 h^\alpha}{\partial y^2} \circ \rho = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_k} \circ \rho\Big\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial y} \circ \rho\Big\}\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k} + \nabla_\alpha \pi \circ \rho. \tag{4.9}$$

Then inserting the identity (4.9) into (4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 = &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial x_k} \circ \rho\Big\} \cdot v_i^\alpha \circ \rho \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\big(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\big)^2}{1 + |\nabla_{x'}\varphi|} dx' dt \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial y} \circ \rho\Big\} \cdot v_i^\alpha \circ \rho \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\big(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\big)^2}{1 + |\nabla_{x'}\varphi|} dx' dt \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial x_\alpha} \circ \rho \cdot v_i^\alpha \circ \rho \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\big(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\big)^2}{1 + |\nabla_{x'}\varphi|} dx' dt
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where we use the fact that $|\nabla \rho| = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$, and we denote the last term in the right-hand side of (4.10) by E_2. Then, for the first two terms in the right-hand side of (4.10), proceeding as in the proof for $|B_3|$, we state the following result without details,

$$|E_1 - E_2| \le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |\nabla h \circ \rho|^2 t dx' dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} |\nabla v \circ \rho|^2 t dx' dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} |(v \circ \rho)^*|^2 dx'\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big\}. \tag{4.11}$$

It is time to handle the problem brought by the derivative of the pressure term in E_2. In fact, the bad case is just related to the factor $\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial y}$, since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2 = &\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\big\{\pi \circ \rho\big\} - \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial y} \circ \rho \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k}\Big\} \cdot v_i^k \circ \rho \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\big(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\big)^2}{1 + |\nabla_{x'}\varphi|} dx' dt \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial y} \circ \rho \cdot v_i^d \circ \rho \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\big(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\big)^2}{1 + |\nabla_{x'}\varphi|} dx' dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Hence, the problem is reduced to estimate the second line of (4.12), denoted by E_3. Note the fact that $\Delta\pi = 0$ in D (see [2, pp.1204] or [11, pp.773]), some tedious manipulation yields

$$\big(1+|\nabla_{x'}\varphi|^2\big)\frac{\partial^2\pi}{\partial y^2}\circ\rho = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial\pi}{\partial x_k}\circ\rho\Big\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial\pi}{\partial y}\circ\rho\Big\}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_k}. \tag{4.13}$$

Moreover, taking integration by parts with respect to t in E_3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 = &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\frac{\partial^2\pi}{\partial y^2}\circ\rho\cdot v_i^d\circ\rho\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_i}\frac{\big(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\big)^3}{1+|\nabla_{x'}\varphi|}dx'dt \\ &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\frac{\partial\pi}{\partial y}\circ\rho\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\bigg\{v_i^d\circ\rho\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_i}\frac{\big(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\big)^2}{1+|\nabla_{x'}\varphi|}\bigg\}dx'dt := E_3^1 + E_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Obviously, the term E_3^2 may produce a Carleson measure, and we first handle it. By Cauchy's inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |E_3^2| &\le C\bigg\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2|\nabla\pi\circ\rho||\nabla v\circ\rho|dx'dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2|\nabla\pi\circ\rho||v\circ\rho||\nabla^2\varphi|dx'dt\bigg\} \\ &\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^3|\nabla\pi\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\nabla v\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|v\circ\rho|^2|\nabla^2\varphi|tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\} \\ &\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\pi\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\nabla v\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(v\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where we use the estimate (2.2), as well as [12, Corollary 7.3.6] in the last step. Then we turn to study E_3^1, and it follows from the identity (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} E_3^1 = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial\pi}{\partial x_k}\circ\rho\Big\}\cdot v_i^d\circ\rho\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_i}\frac{\big(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\big)^3}{1+|\nabla_{x'}\varphi|}dx'dt \\ &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\Big\{\frac{\partial\pi}{\partial y}\circ\rho\Big\}\cdot v_i^d\circ\rho\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_k}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_i}\frac{\big(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\big)^3}{1+|\nabla_{x'}\varphi|}dx'dt. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts in x_k, and proceeding as in the proof of E_3^2, we also arrive at

$$|E_3^1| \le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\pi\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\nabla v\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(v\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\} \tag{4.16}$$

Hence, the estimates (4.15) and (4.16) lead to the estimate of $|E_3|$, and then it is not hard to see

$$|E_2| \le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\pi\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t|\nabla v\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(v\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\},$$

which together with the estimate (4.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |E_1| \le C&\bigg\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\nabla h\circ\rho|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\pi\circ\rho|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\} \\ &\times\bigg\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\nabla v\circ\rho|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(v\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Recalling the expression of E_1, we may follow the same procedure above to estimate $|A_2|$, and the details are left to the reader. Up to now, it is not hard to verify that $|B_1|$ is controlled by the right-hand side of (4.17) with a different constant C. By noting the estimates (4.6) and (4.7), we have indeed proved the estimate (4.3), and the proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(u,q)\in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)\times L^2(\Omega)$ be the solution of $\Delta u=\nabla q$ and $\mathrm{div}(u)=0$ in Ω, and $(u)^*\in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Assume $\eta\in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$, and the vector-valued function h is given as in Lemma 4.1. Then we have*

$$\left|\int_\Omega q\nabla_\alpha\eta h^\alpha dx\right|\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\Big(\int_\Omega |q|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}\left\{\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla h|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}+\Big(\int_{\partial\Omega}|(h)^*|^2dS\Big)^{\frac12}\right\}. \tag{4.18}$$

Moreover, if we additionally assume $|u|\in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then there holds

$$\left|\int_\Omega q\nabla_\alpha\eta h^\alpha dx\right|\le C\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|\eta\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}\|h\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}, \tag{4.19}$$

where C depends only on d and Ω.

Proof. We use the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, and by the same localization methods as stated there, it suffices to establish the estimate (4.18) with Ω replaced by D, under assumption that $\Delta u=\nabla q$ and $\mathrm{div}(u)=0$ in D. In view of Lemma 2.1, it is known that there exist an extension of η, still denoted by η, and $|\nabla^2\eta(x',t)|^2tdx'dt$ is a Carleson measure. By

$$\int_D q\nabla_\alpha\eta h^\alpha dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} q\circ\rho\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\circ\rho h^\alpha\circ\rho\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}dx'dt:=A,$$

where $\rho:\mathbb{R}^d_+\to D$ is referred as a special bi-Lipschitz map (see (4.2)), we manage to show

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} q\circ\rho\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\circ\rho h^\alpha\circ\rho\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}dx'dt\right|&\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|q\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\\&\quad\times\left\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|\nabla h\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}+\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(h\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

and the desired estimate (4.18) will follow immediately. Observing that

$$\begin{aligned}A&=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\{q\circ\rho\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\circ\rho h^\alpha\circ\rho\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\right\}dx'dt\\&=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t\frac{\partial q}{\partial y}\circ\rho\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\circ\rho h^\alpha\circ\rho\Big(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\Big)^2dx'dt\\&\quad-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}tq\circ\rho\frac{\partial^2\eta}{\partial x_\alpha\partial y}\circ\rho h^\alpha\circ\rho\Big(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\Big)^2dx'dt-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}tq\circ\rho\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\circ\rho\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big\{h^\alpha\circ\rho\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\Big\}dx'dt,\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

the last line of (4.21) is controlled by

$$C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|q\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\left\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|\nabla h\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}+\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(h\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\right\},$$

where we use the fact that $|\nabla^2\eta(x',t)|^2tdx'dt$ and $|\nabla^2\varphi(x',t)|^2tdx'dt$ are Carleson measures, as well as [12, Corollary 7.3.6]. The relatively tough term is in the second line of (4.21), and integrating by parts in t again, it is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial y^2}\circ\rho\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\circ\rho h^\alpha\circ\rho\Big(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\Big)^3dx'dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} t^2\frac{\partial q}{\partial y}\circ\rho\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\bigg\{\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial x_\alpha}\circ\rho h^\alpha\circ\rho\Big(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\Big)^2\bigg\}dx'dt:=B+E.$$

Let $\tilde{C}=C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}$. We first handle E, which will produce the Carleson measures, and then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|E|&\le\tilde{C}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t^3|\nabla q\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|\nabla h\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}+\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(h\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\\&\le\tilde{C}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|q\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|\nabla h\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}+\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(h\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\},\end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

where we apply the estimate (2.2) to the last step. To control $|B|$, we apply the following identity

$$\frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial y^2}\circ\rho=\frac{1}{1+|\nabla_{x'}\varphi|}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\bigg\{\frac{\partial q}{\partial y}\circ\rho\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_k}-\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_k}\circ\rho\bigg\}-\frac{1}{1+|\nabla_{x'}\varphi|}\frac{\partial q}{\partial y}\circ\rho\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial x_k^2}\tag{4.23}$$

to the term B by noting the fact that $\Delta q=0$ in D, and then it is not hard to derive

$$|B|\le\tilde{C}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|q\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\{\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|\nabla h\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}+\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(h\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}.$$

This together with the estimate (4.22) implies the desired result (4.20).

We now turn to the proof of (4.19). Before proceeding further, let $G\circ\rho$ be the harmonic extension of $\eta\circ\rho$ to $\mathbb{R}^d_+$, i.e., $\Delta G\circ\rho=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ and $G=\eta$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. We mention that by a partition of unity we may assume that η has compact support in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Due to [6, 14], there holds

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}|\nabla^2G\circ\rho|^2tdx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\le C\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(\nabla G\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\tag{4.24}$$

where C depends only on d. As in Remark 2.2, the harmonic extension function of η is still denoted by itself in the follow statements.

Recalling the identity (4.21), the last line of (4.21) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}C\bigg\{&\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|h\circ\rho|^2|q\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|\nabla^2\eta\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\\&+\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|\nabla\eta\circ\rho|^2|q\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|\nabla h\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\\&+\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|\nabla\eta\circ\rho|^2|q\circ\rho|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}t|h\circ\rho|^2|\nabla^2\varphi(x',t)|^2dx'dt\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

On account of Lemma 2.6 and the estimate (4.24), the above quantities is controlled by

$$C\big\|u\circ\rho\big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d_+)}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(h\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}}|(\nabla\eta\circ\rho)^*|^2dx'\Big)^{\frac12},\tag{4.25}$$

where we also use the fact that $|\nabla^2\varphi(x',t)|^2 t dx'dt$ is a Carleson measure. As we did in the proof of (4.18), we have divided the integral in the second line of (4.21) into two parts, also denoted by B and E, respectively. Then by noting that $|q\circ\rho|^2 t dx'dt$ and $|\nabla^2\varphi(x',t)|^2 t dx'dt$ are Carleson measures, it is not hard to obtain that

$$|E| \le C\big\|u\circ\rho\big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d_+)}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} |(h\circ\rho)^*|^2 dx'\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} |(\nabla\eta\circ\rho)^*|^2 dx'\Big)^{\frac12},$$

where the estimate (2.2) is also used in the computation. Furthermore, the identity (4.23) is also applied to estimate $|B|$ with the major change being the substitution of using the Carleson measure $|\nabla^2\eta\circ\rho|^2 t dx'dt$ for employing the Carleson measure $|q\circ\rho|^2 t dx'dt$. Thus, the quantity $|B|$ is also bounded by (4.25) with a different constant C. Up to now, we have proved that

$$\left|\int_D q\nabla_\alpha\eta h^\alpha dx\right| \le C\big\|u\big\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\big\|\eta\big\|_{H^1(\Delta)}\big\|h\big\|_{L^2(\Delta)},$$

where $\Delta = \{(x',y)\in\mathbb{R}^d : y=\psi(x'), |x'|<r\} = \partial\Omega\cap B(0,r)$, and we used the nontangential maximal function estimates (see [21, 11]). The details is left to the reader and we have completed the proof. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(h,\pi)\in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)\times L^2(\Omega)$ be the solution of $\Delta h = \nabla\pi$ and $\operatorname{div}(h)=0$ in Ω, and $(h)^*\in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Assume $\eta\in C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)$, and the vector-valued function u is given as in Lemma 4.2. Then we have*

$$\left|\int_\Omega \pi\nabla_\alpha\eta u^\alpha dx\right| \le C\big\|\eta\big\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\Big(\int_\Omega |\pi|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}\left\{\Big(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12} + \Big(\int_{\partial\Omega}|(u)^*|^2 dS\Big)^{\frac12}\right\}. \tag{4.26}$$

Moreover, if $|u|\in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then there admits

$$\left|\int_\Omega \pi\nabla_\alpha\eta u^\alpha dx\right| \le C\big\|u\big\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\big\|\eta\big\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}\big\|h\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}, \tag{4.27}$$

where C depends only on d and Ω.

Proof. Using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we can easily obtain the estimate (4.26) by interchanging (u,q) and (h,π), respectively. The proof of (4.27) is quite similar to that given previously for the estimate (4.19), and so is omitted. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows from the identity (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\partial\Omega}\big[\Lambda,\eta\big]f\cdot h dS\right| &= \left|\int_{\partial\Omega}[\Lambda(\eta f)]^\alpha h^\alpha dS - \int_{\partial\Omega}\eta[\Lambda(f)]^\alpha h^\alpha dS\right| \\ &\le \left|\int_\Omega u^\alpha\nabla\eta\cdot\nabla h^\alpha dx\right| + \left|\int_\Omega \nabla u^\alpha\cdot\nabla\eta h^\alpha dx\right| + \left|\int_\Omega q\nabla_\alpha\eta h^\alpha\right| + \left|\int_\Omega \pi\nabla_\alpha\eta u^\alpha dx\right| \\ &:= I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4.\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Note that

$$I_3+I_4 \le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\|f\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\|h\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \tag{4.29}$$

where we employ the estimates (4.18), (4.26), (2.1) and (2.2), as well as $\|(h)^*\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\le C\|h\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}$ (see [11, Theorem 3.9]). Concerning I_1 and I_2, the estimates are based upon the so-called Dahlberg's

bilinear estimate, i.e. Lemma 4.1. Taking I_1 as an example, let $v^\alpha = \nabla\eta u^\alpha$ in the estimate (4.1), and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\int_\Omega \nabla h\cdot\nabla\eta u dx\Big| &\le C\bigg\{\Big(\int_\Omega |\nabla h|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}+\int_\Omega|\pi|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\\ \times\bigg\{\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla u|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}&+\Big(\int_\Omega|u|^2|\nabla^2\eta|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}+\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\Big(\int_{\partial\Omega}|(u)^*|^2dS\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\\ &\le C\big\|\eta\big\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\big\|f\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\big\|h\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)},\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

where we mention that $|\nabla^2\eta|^2\delta(x)dx$ is a Carleson measure. The term I_2 follows the similar computations. Collecting the estimates (4.28), (4.29) and (4.30) consequently leads to

$$\Big|\int_{\partial\Omega}[\Lambda,\eta]f\cdot h dS\Big|\le C\big\|\eta\big\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\big\|f\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\big\|h\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)},$$

which yields the desired estimate (1.3) by duality.

Now, we proceed to prove the estimate (1.4) in the case of $d=3$. In such case, it is well-known that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\le C\|f\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}$$

(see [20, Theorem 0.2]), and this indicates it suffices to establish

$$\big\|\Lambda(\eta f)-\eta\Lambda(f)\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\le C\|\eta\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.\tag{4.31}$$

Before approaching the above estimate, let G be the harmonic extension of η to Ω, i.e., $\Delta G=0$ in Ω and $G=\eta$ on $\partial\Omega$. Furthermore, due to [6, 14], there holds

$$\Big(\int_{\partial\Omega}|(\nabla G)^*|^2dS\Big)^{\frac12}+\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla^2G|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}\le C\|\eta\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)},\tag{4.32}$$

where C depends only on d and Ω. As in Remark 2.2, the harmonic extension function of η is still denoted by itself in the follow statements.

To estimate (4.32), let us review (4.28), and re-estimate it under the new condition. It follows the Dahlberg's bilinear estimate (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}I_1&\le C\bigg\{\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla h|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}+\Big(\int_\Omega|\pi|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\\ &\quad\times\bigg\{\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla\eta|^2|\nabla u|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}+\Big(\int_\Omega|u|^2|\nabla^2\eta|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}+\Big(\int_{\partial\Omega}|(u\nabla\eta)^*|^2dS\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\\ &\le C\big\|h\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\bigg\{\big\|u\big\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\big\|(\nabla\eta)^*\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}+\big\|u\big\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla^2\eta|^2\delta(x)dx\Big)^{\frac12}\bigg\}\\ &\le C\big\|h\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\|\eta\big\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}\big\|u\big\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.33}$$

In the second inequality, we employ the fact that $|\nabla u|^2\delta(x)dx$ is a Carleson measure (see Lemma 2.6), and the last inequality follows from the estimate (4.32). For I_2, we first observe that

$$\int_\Omega\nabla u^\alpha\cdot\nabla\eta h^\alpha dx=-\int_\Omega u^\alpha\nabla\eta\cdot\nabla h^\alpha dx+\int_{\partial\Omega}n\cdot\nabla\eta u^\alpha h^\alpha dS$$

since $\Delta\eta = 0$ in Ω here. Hence, it is not hard to obtain

$$I_2 \le C\big\|h\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\big\|\eta\big\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}\big\|u\big\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \tag{4.34}$$

where we use the so-called Rellich estimate $\|\nabla_{\tan}\eta\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \approx \|\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial n}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}$ (see [21]). Finally, it follows from the estimates (4.19) and (4.27) that

$$I_3 + I_4 \le C\big\|h\big\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\big\|\eta\big\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)}\big\|u\big\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)},$$

and this together with (4.33) and (4.34) leads to the desired estimate (4.31) by a duality argument. We have completed the proof. □

5 Appendix

In this section, we give an simple and illuminating verification of (1.3). Consider the following Stokes system:

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_x^2+\partial_y^2)u^1 = \partial_x q \\ (\partial_x^2+\partial_y^2)u^2 = \partial_y q \end{cases} \quad\text{and}\quad \partial_x u^1 + \partial_y u^2 = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2_+, \qquad \begin{cases} u^1 = f^1 \\ u^2 = f^2 \end{cases} \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}^2_+ = \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.1}$$

Additionally, it is convenient to assume u will vanish as $|x|$ goes to infinity. To solve the above equations, let $u = \nabla^T\psi$, where $\nabla^T = (-\partial_y, \partial_x)$, and ψ is a scale function. Plugging it back into (5.1), it is not hard to derive $\Delta^2\psi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2_+$. Then applying Fourier transformation with respect to x, we have

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_y^2 - |k|^2)^2\widehat{\psi}(k,y) = 0 & \text{in } \ \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+, \\ \widehat{\psi}(k,0) = \widehat{f^2}/(ik) & \text{on } \ \mathbb{R}, \\ \partial_y\widehat{\psi}(k,0) = -\widehat{f^1} & \text{on } \ \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

By the condition $\widehat{\psi}(k,\infty) = 0$, it is clear to figure out the solution of (5.2), and it is written by

$$\widehat{\psi}(k,y) = \frac{\widehat{f^2}}{ik}e^{-|k|y} + (|k|\frac{\widehat{f^2}}{ik} - \widehat{f^1})ye^{-|k|y}. \tag{5.3}$$

Since

$$\big(\Lambda(f)\big)^1 = \frac{\partial u^1}{\partial y} - q \qquad\text{and}\qquad \big(\Lambda(f)\big)^2 = \frac{\partial u^2}{\partial y},$$

we insert $(u^1,u^2) = (-\partial_y\psi, \partial_x\psi)$ into the above formula, and then taking Fourier transformation, we obtain

$$\widehat{\Lambda(f)} = \big(\partial_y\widehat{u^1} - \widehat{q},\ \partial_y\widehat{u^2}\big) = \big(-\partial_y^2\widehat{\psi} - \widehat{q},\ ik\partial_y\widehat{\psi}\big)\Big|_{y=0}.$$

Hence the problem is reduced to calculate the quantities $\partial_y^2\widehat{\psi}, \partial_y\widehat{\psi}$ and $\widehat{q}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. By a tedious computation, it follows from (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y^2\widehat{\psi}\Big|_{y=0} &= 2|k|\widehat{f^1} + ik\widehat{f^2}, \\ ik\partial_y\widehat{\psi}\Big|_{y=0} &= -ik\widehat{f^1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

The rest thing is to compute $\widehat{q}$. In view of (5.1) and $u^1=-\partial_y\psi$, we have $(\partial_y^2-|k|^2)\partial_y\widehat{\psi}=ik\widehat{q}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2_+$, and by (5.3), there holds

$$\widehat{q}\Big|_{y=0}=-2|k|\widehat{f^2}+2ik\widehat{f^1}. \tag{5.5}$$

Hence, combining (5.4) and (5.5), it is clear to see that the quantity $\widehat{\Lambda(f)}$ is determined by

$$\big(-2|k|\widehat{f^1}-ik\widehat{f^2}+2|k|\widehat{f^2}-2ik\widehat{f^1},\quad -ik\widehat{f^1}\big).$$

Let H denote the Hilbert transform. It is well known that $\widehat{H(h)}(k)=-i\mathrm{sgn}(k)\widehat{h}(k)$ for any h in Schwartz class, and by observing $|k|=\mathrm{sgn}(k)k$ we may have

$$\Lambda(f)=\big(-2H(\partial_xf^1)-\partial_xf^2+2H(\partial_xf^2)-\partial_xf^1,\quad -\partial_xf^1\big) \tag{5.6}$$

Hence, for any $\eta\in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$, we may directly compute the quantity $\Lambda(\eta f)-\eta\Lambda(f)$. Since $\partial_x(\eta f^1)-\eta\partial_xf^1=f^1\partial_x\eta$, we only study its first component

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\Lambda(\eta f)-\eta\Lambda(f)\big)^1&=-2H(\partial_x(\eta f^1))-\partial_x(\eta f^2)+2H(\partial_x(\eta f^2))-\partial_x(\eta f^1)\\&\quad+2\eta H(\partial_xf^1)+\eta\partial_xf^2-2\eta H(\partial_xf^2)+\eta\partial_xf^1\\&=-2\big[H(\partial_x(\eta f^1))-\eta H(\partial_xf^1)\big]+2\big[H(\partial_x(\eta f^2))-\eta H(\partial_xf^2)\big]-\partial_x\eta(f^1+f^2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus from the estimate

$$\|H(\partial_x(\eta f^i))-\eta H(\partial_xf^i)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})}\|f^i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \tag{5.7}$$

where $i=1,2$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda(\eta f)-\eta\Lambda(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}&\le C\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^2\|H(\partial_x(\eta f^i))-\eta H(\partial_xf^i)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\Big\}\\&\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})}\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Our task now is to estimate (5.7). Although the proof is probably known to experts in the area, we provide it here for the sake of the completeness.

$$H(\partial_x(\eta f^i))-\eta H(\partial_xf^i)=H(\partial_x\eta f^i)+H\big((\eta-\eta(x))\partial_xf^i\big)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}H\big((\eta-\eta(x))\partial_xf^i\big)(x)&=\frac{1}{\pi}\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\int_{|z|>\varepsilon}\frac{\eta(z)-\eta(x)}{x-z}\partial_zf^i(z)dz\\&=-\frac{1}{\pi}\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\int_{|z|>\varepsilon}\frac{\partial_z\eta(z)f^i(z)}{x-z}dz+\frac{1}{\pi}\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\int_{|z|>\varepsilon}\frac{\eta(z)-\eta(x)}{(x-z)^2}f^i(z)dz,\end{aligned}$$

and this together with

$$\eta(z)-\eta(x)=\int_0^1\partial_\xi\eta(\xi)dt\cdot(z-x),\qquad \xi=tz+(1-t)x,$$

implies the desired estimate (5.7) (see [12]). Indeed, the estimate (5.8) may hold for any $1<p<\infty$, i.e.,

$$\|\Lambda(\eta f)-\eta\Lambda(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}\le C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

In the case of $d \geq 3$, the previous proof indicates that the Hilbert transform will be replaced by Riesz transforms, and it will provide another proof for Theorem 1.1 in the special case of $\mathbb{R}^d_+$. Furthermore, if the domain Ω is sufficient smooth, this approach may be applied to the following estimate

$$\|\Lambda(\eta f) - \eta\Lambda(f)\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\|\eta\|_{C^{0,1}(\partial\Omega)}\|f\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)},$$

and we will complete this topic through pseudodifferential operator arguments in a separate work.

Acknowledgements

The first author wants to express his sincere appreciation to Professor Zhongwei Shen and Professor Peihao Zhao for their encouragements and instructions. The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11471147). The third author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11571020).

References

[1] J. Behrndt, A.F.M. ter Elst, Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps on bounded Lipschitz domains, J. Differential Equations 259(2015), no.11, 5903-5926.

[2] R. Brown, Z. Shen, Estimates for the Stokes operator in Lipschitz domains, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 44(1995), no.4, 1183-1206.

[3] A. Calderón, Algebra of singular integrals operators, Singular Integrals. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 10(1967), 18-55.

[4] A. Calderón, On an inverse boundary value problem, Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics (Rio de Janeiro, 1980), pp.65-73, Soc. Brasil. Mat., Rio de Janeiro, 1980.

[5] B. Dahlberg, On the Poisson integral for Lipschitz and C^1 domains, Studia Math. 66(1979), 13-24.

[6] B. Dahlberg, Weighted norm inequalities for the Lusin area integral and the nontangential maximal functions for functions harmonic in a Lipschitz domain, Studia Math. 67(1980), no.3, 297-314.

[7] B. Dahlberg, On the absolute continuity of elliptic measures, Amer. J. Math., 108(1986), no.5, 1119-1138.

[8] B. Dahlberg, Poisson semigroups and singular integrals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 97(1986), no.1, 41-48.

[9] B. Dahlberg, C. Kenig, Hardy spaces and the Neumann problem in L^p for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains, Ann. of Math., (2)125(1987), no.3, 437-465.

[10] B. Dahlberg, C. Kenig, J. Pipher, G. Verchota, Area integral estimates for higher order elliptic equations and systems, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 47(1997), no.5, 1425-1461.

[11] E.B. Fabes, C.E. Kenig, G. Verchota, The Dirichlet problem for the Stokes system on Lipschitz domains, Duke Math. J. 57(1988), no.3, 769-793.

[12] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier analysis, Second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics 250, Springer, New York, 2009.

[13] S. Hofmann, Dahlberg's bilinear estimate for solutions of divergence form complex elliptic equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136(2008), no.12, 4223-4233.

[14] D. Jerison, C. Kenig, An identity with applications to harmonic measure, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 2(1980), no.3, 447-451.

[15] C. Kenig, Z. Shen, Layer potential methods for elliptic homogenization problems, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 64(2011), no.1, 1-44.

[16] C. Kenig, F. Lin, Z. Shen, Periodic homogenization of Green and Neumann functions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 67(2014), no.8, 1219-1262.

[17] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow, Revised English edition, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London, 1963.

[18] M. Mitrea, M. Wright, Boundary value problems for the Stokes system in arbitrary Lipschitz domains, Astrisque No.344(2012), viii+241 pp.ISBN: 978-2-85629-343-0.

[19] Z. Shen, Commutator estimates for the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map in Lipschitz domains, Some topics in harmonic analysis and applications, 369C384, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)34, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2016.

[20] Z. Shen, A note on the Dirichlet problem for the Stokes system in Lipschitz domains, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 123(1995), no.3, 801-811.

[21] G. Verchota, Layer potentials and regularity for the Dirichlet problem for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains, J. Funct. Anal., 59(1984), no.3, 572-611.